

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-----------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/849,981 | 05/20/2004 | Ernst Friedrich Ach | 132702-0087 | 8688 | |
| | 7590 05/15/200 MENS MARTIN & MI | EXAM | EXAMINER | | |
| 28366 KENSINGTON LANE | | | MATTHEWS, TERRELL HOWARD | | |
| PERRYSBURG | i, OH 43551 | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| | • | | 3654 | | |
| | | | · | | |
| | | | MAIĻ DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 05/15/2007 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|---------------------|--------------|
| 10/849,981 | ACH ET AL. |
| Examiner | Art Unit |
| Terrell H. Matthews | 3654 |
| | |

| | Terrell H. Matthews | 3654 | |
|---|---|---|---|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the o | orrespondence add | lress |
| THE REPLY FILED 4/24/2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLIC | ATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLC | WANCE. | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: | the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, aft tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in a | Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C | nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7) | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI | g date of the final rejecti | on. |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The appropring the final Office of the final rejection, of the final rejection, of the final rejection, of the final rejection, of the final rejection. | iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as even if timely filed, |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of th | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co. (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo.) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in beto. | nsideration and/or search (see NO w); | TE below); | |
| appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | corresponding number of finally rej | ected claims. | · |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1. | 21. See attached Notice of Non-Co | mpliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) | :, | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). | | | _ |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: | | Il be entered and an e | explanation of |
| Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | t before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affidav | otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is | ot be entered s necessary and |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome all rejections under appe | al and/or appellant fai | ils to provide a |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the claims after e | ntry is below or attach | ned. |
| The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet. | t does NOT place the application in | n condition for allowar | nce because: |
| 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☐ Other: | <u> </u> | O.GRAWFORD | |
| | SUPERVISO | RY PITENT EXAMI | NER |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06) Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the claims fail to overcome the previous rejections as taught by the prior art and cited in prior office actions.